Route 1 Mound, Minnesota December 12, 1949

Dr. Ralph Manley
Director of Research
General Mills, Inc.
Research Laboratory
2010 East Hennepin
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Dear Dr. Manley:

Enclosed is our progress report describing as of December 1 the state of our experiments on psychological factors in feeding chickens. The bulk of this material represents the final reports of experiments described in the November 1 report. Experiments still in progress are so indicated and have been reported in the briefer form used last month.

Thank you for forwarding Dr. Hochbaum's letter. We were much interested in his discussion of the effects of light on ducks and geese and of the eating habits of ducklings. Some of this relates in part to problems we are running into in connection with developing our yellow pellet. I will be very glad to have a chance to talk with Dr. Hochbaum when he comes to Minneapolis.

We admit to being somewhat baffled by the accumulation of results in the accompanying reports, in view of the unequivocal findings in our earlier work with day-old and young chicks. However, we have started off on some new lines now which we hope will lead to more positive results.

Very truly yours,

Keller Breland

Preferences of Broiler Birds for Yellow Pellets and Other Feeds

Purpose: To test preferences of broiler birds for Larro broiler mash, broiler mash (3/32" pellets), broiler mash (3/16" pellets), and a high protein yellow pellet specially developed at the Research Laboratory.

Subjects: Groups A and C (13 and 12 birds respectively) were approximately six weeks old at the start of the experiment. They were White Rocks of mixed sex, hatched at Glen Lake Hatchery, purchased at six weeks from a local broiler raiser. Prior to purchase they had been raised in a four-deck battery and fed on a locally prepared broiler mash (Connecticut formula). During the course of the experiment they were housed in the top and third deck of a four-deck broiler bettery and were fed ad libitum from a trough divided into three sections. Larro broiler mash, powder form, was fed in one section, Larro broiler pellets (3/32") in another, and the yellow pellets in a third (a few were crushed on the first day). The positions of these three feeds in the trough sections were altered every two weeks, to avoid the formation of position habits.

Groups B and D (10 birds each) were approximately three months old at the start of the experiment. They were White Rocks and Wyandottes of mixed sex, purchased from Glen Lake Hatchery, raised at our own farm at first in a battery brooder and later in floor pens. They had been fed exclusively on Larro broiler mash in its three forms; for about six weeks they had been on an all-pellet ration. For 3 or 4 weeks prior to the experiment about half their ration had consisted of 3/16" pellets. During the experiment they were housed in the second and bottom decks of the four-deck battery and were offered in divided troughs, ad libitum, 3/32" broiler pellets, 3/16" broiler pellets, and yellow pellets, a few of which were crushed on the first day. Positions of the feeds were changed every two weeks.

Grit and water were continuously available to all groups, except that no fresh grit was added to the troughs the day prior to feed weighing, since we wished to obtain weights of the feeds uncomplicated by varying amounts of grit.

Amounts of feed added were recorded at the time of each addition. The birds were weighed at the end of each week, and feed consumed during the week was measured at the same time.

The experiment was carried on for six weeks, until the yellow pellets were used up.

2 Reported as White Rocks only in the preliminary report. As

the birds grew, a few rose combs became evident.

¹ Made from a 50 percent starch and 50 percent casein preparation which was cooked and extruded. The finished pellet was intermediate in size between the 3/16" broiler pellet and the 3/32" broiler pellet.

Table I Mean Weights and Gains in Ounces for Six Experimental Weeks

| | Group | p A | Group | 0 |
|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Weight | Gain | Weight | Gain |
| Initial weight | 18.17 | | 18.18 | |
| End of week 1 | 23.92 | 5.75 | 23.91 | 5.73 |
| | | 5.50 | | 5.54 |
| End of week 2 | 29.42 | 5.83 | 29.45 | 5.55 |
| End of week 3 | 35.25 | 6.92 | 35.00 | |
| End of week 4 | 42.17 | | 40.55 | 5.55 |
| End of week 5 | 48.33 | 6.16 | 47.09 | 6.54 |
| | | 3.92 | | 4.45 |
| End of week 6* | 52.25 | | 51.54 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Group | | | ap D |
| | . Group Weight | p B Gain | Grow Weight | ip D Gain |
| Initial weight | | Gain | | Gain |
| Initial weight | Weight | | Weight | |
| End of week 1 | Weight 33.50 38.30 | Gain | Weight 33.60 37.00 | Gain |
| End of week 1 | Weight 33.50 38.30 42.80 | Gain 4.80 | Weight 33.60 37.00 41.30 | Gain 3.40 |
| End of week 1 | Weight 33.50 38.30 | Gain 4.80 4.50 6.80 | Weight 33.60 37.00 | 3.40 4.30 4.20 |
| End of week 1 | Weight 33.50 38.30 42.80 | Gain 4.80 4.50 6.80 5.60 | Weight 33.60 37.00 41.30 | 3.40 4.30 4.20 5.00 |
| End of week 1 End of week 2 End of week 3 | Weight 33.50 38.30 42.80 49.60 | Gain 4.80 4.50 6.80 | Weight 33.60 37.00 41.30 45.50 | 3.40 4.30 4.20 |
| End of week 1 End of week 2 End of week 3 End of week 4 | Weight 33.50 38.30 42.80 49.60 55.20 57.40 | Gain 4.80 4.50 6.80 5.60 | Weight 33.60 37.00 41.30 45.50 50.50 | 3.40 4.30 4.20 5.00 |

^{*} This final week was shorter by one day than the other weeks, since the yellow pellets were exhausted one day before the scheduled weighing day.

When weighed on the usual day, this grup was found to be substandard in weight. An investigation showed that there had been an accident to the wire on one side of the cage which rendered the water supply inaccessible for perhaps twelve hours. The difficulty was repaired and the birds were reweighed the following day. This recorded average is for the second weighing. The feed record for this week is also based on the second day's computations.

Table II
Feed Consumption in Total Grams and Grams per Chicken for all Groups during Six Experimental Weeks

| | | | Group A | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|-----|
| | 3/32# | Pellets | Mash | | Yellow | Pellets Gra | nd |
| Week | Total | Per | Potal | Per | Total | Per Tot | |
| 110025 | a so o come | bird* | | bird | | bird | |
| 1 | 2610 | 200.8 | 2488 | 191.4 | 1826 | 140.5 | |
| 2 | 3170 | 243.8 | 2764 | 212.6 | 2316 | 178.2 | |
| 3 | | | 3045 | 234.2 | 1642 | 126.3 | |
| | 3919 | 301.5 | | | | | |
| 4 | 4897 | 376.7 | 3156 | 242.8 | 2143 | 164.8 | - |
| 5 | 4937 | 379.8 | 3886 | 298.9 | 2484 | 191.1 | |
| 6 | 4141 | 318.5 | 2499 | 192.2 | 2312 | 177.8 | |
| Total | 23674 | | 17838 | | 12723 | 542 | 35 |
| Subtotal | | 41512 | | | | | |
| Percent | 43.6 | | 32.9 | | 23.5 | 100 | .0 |
| | | | Group C | | | | |
| 1 | 3381 | 281.8 | 2028 | 169.0 | 771 | 64.2 | |
| 1 2 | 4677 | 389.8 | 2578 | 214.8 | 765 | 63.8 | |
| 3 | 4081 | 340.1 | 2981 | 248.4 | 932 | 77.7 | |
| 4 | 4543 | 378.6 | 2888 | 240.7 | 930 | 77.5 | |
| 5 | 6104 | 508.7 | 3058 | 254.8 | 1121 | 93.4 | |
| 6 | | | 2491 | 226.5 | 1319 | 119.9 | |
| 0 | 3882 | 352.9 | 2427 | 6.000 | TOTO | 110.0 | |
| Total | 26668 | | 16024 | | 5838 | 485 | 30 |
| Subtotal | | 42692 | | | | | |
| Percent | 55.0 | | 33.0 | | 12.0 | 100 | .0 |
| | | | Group B | | | | |
| | 3/32# | Pellets | 3/16" F | ellets | Yellow | Pellets Gr | and |
| | | | | | | | |
| | And the second second | | | Per | Total | Fer To | tal |
| | Total | Per | Total | Per | Total | | tal |
| 1 | Total | Per bird | Total | bird | | bird | tal |
| 1 9 | Total 4183 | Per bird 418.3 | Total 518 | bird 51.8 | 880 | bird 88.0 | tal |
| 1 2 3 | Total 4183 4556 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 | Total 518 1184 | bird 51.8 118.4 | 880 626 | bird 88.0 62.6 | tal |
| 3 | Total 4183 4556 3857 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 | Total 518 1184 3344 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 | 880 626 373 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 | tal |
| 3 4 | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 | 880 626 373 244 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 | tal |
| 3 4 5 | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 | 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 | 880 626 373 244 283 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 | tal |
| 3 4 | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 | 880 626 373 244 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 | tal |
| 3 4 5 | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 | 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 | 880 626 373 244 283 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 | |
| 3 4 5 6 | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 38359 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 1727 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 3328 3759 2950 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 38359 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 1727 2950 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 1175 392 447 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 3328 3759 2950 2603 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 38359 332.8 375.9 295.0 260.3 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 1727 2950 4540 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 34.9 172.7 295.0 454.0 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 1175 392 447 436 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 117.5 39.2 44.7 43.6 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 3328 3759 2950 2603 1650 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 38359 332.8 375.9 295.0 260.3 165.0 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 1727 2950 4540 5214 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 34.9 172.7 295.0 454.0 521.4 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 1175 392 447 436 446 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 117.5 39.2 44.7 43.6 44.6 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 3328 3759 2950 2603 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 38359 332.8 375.9 295.0 260.3 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 1727 2950 4540 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 34.9 172.7 295.0 454.0 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 1175 392 447 436 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 117.5 39.2 44.7 43.6 44.6 67.9 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 3328 3759 2950 2603 1650 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 38359 332.8 375.9 295.0 260.3 165.0 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 1727 2950 4540 5214 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 34.9 172.7 295.0 454.0 521.4 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 1175 392 447 436 446 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 117.5 39.2 44.7 43.6 44.6 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 3328 3759 2950 2603 1650 1869 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 38359 332.8 375.9 295.0 260.3 165.0 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 1727 2950 4540 5214 3865 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 34.9 172.7 295.0 454.0 521.4 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 1175 392 447 436 446 679 3575 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 117.5 39.2 44.7 43.6 44.6 67.9 | 89 |
| 3 4 5 6 Total Subtotal Percent 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Total 4183 4556 3857 4995 3857 3407 24855 60.3 3328 3759 2950 2603 1650 1869 | Per bird 418.3 455.6 385.7 499.5 385.7 340.7 38359 332.8 375.9 295.0 260.3 165.0 186.9 | Total 518 1184 3344 2697 2953 2808 13504 32.8 Group D 349 1727 2950 4540 5214 3865 | bird 51.8 118.4 334.4 269.7 295.3 280.8 34.9 172.7 295.0 454.0 521.4 | 880 626 373 244 283 424 2830 6.9 1175 392 447 436 446 679 | bird 88.0 62.6 37.3 24.4 28.3 42.4 411 100 117.5 39.2 44.7 43.6 44.6 67.9 | 89 |

^{*} All "Per bird" figures are based on the number of chickens present in the group during the week in question: Group A: 13 for all weeks (12 only on weight and gain figures since one bird was isolated at the very end), B: 10, C:12 for first 5 weeks, 11 for last, D: 10.

Results: Average weights and gains, in ounces, are presented in Table I.

Groups A and C were very closely matched as to average weight and gain, particularly during the first three weeks of the experiment, and ended up less than an ounce different in average weight.

Groups B and D were matched within O.1 ounce at the beginning of the experiment but Group D failed to gain quite as rapidly and finished up 4.3 ounces lighter in average weight than B.

Table II presents f eed consumption records for all groups. As is evident from the table, yellow pellets were not preferred by any of the groups over the other two feeds. All groups except D preferred the 3/32" pellets to the other feeds offered. During the last 3 weeks of the experiment, Group D ate more of the 3/16" broiler pellets.

Some data of interest emerge from the feed table. For one thing, there is a positive though imperfect relationship between total feed consumed and amounts and percentages of yellow pe llets consumed. Group A consumed more feed and more yellow pellets (both absolutely and on a percentage basis) than the other groups. Date the least feed and the second smallest amount of yellow pellets. Subtotals of the two types of broiler mash show that the groups ate more nearly similar amounts of broiler mash (there is about an 8000 gram difference between the lowest and highest groups on this basis and a 16000 gram difference between the lowest and highest in total feed consumption). This may mean that the yellow pellets were eaten in addition to the other feed and thus led to greater total feed intake than would have occurred if the yellow pellets had not been offered. This finding, if true, means that the yellow pellets, even though not preferred to the other feeds, were fulfilling one function of the desirable dessert pellet.

Study of the grams per bird figures for the last week of the experiment reveals that in all groups except A there was an increase in the amount of yellow pellets eaten, while the amounts of other feeds eaten did not increase. While Group A did not cat more yellow pellets per bird during this week, their consumption of the other feeds dropped relatively more than was the case with the yellow pellets. This was a week of thawing temperatures after several weeks of freezing weather. It may be that the moisture content of the yellow pellets increased during this week, softened them, and thus made them more pelatable to the birds. This finding may relate to some of the data collected in our Experiment 7 on hardness of the various feeds.

³ The pellets, along with the bulk of the other feeds, were stored in a loft where the temperature drop ed below freezing during the cold weeks.

Experiment 5 (Final Report) Feed Preferences in Grown Chickens

Six New Hampshire hens about 2 1/2 years old were used in this experiment to test preferences for Larro egg mash (pelleted) as compared to the specially made yellow pellets, crushed yellow pellets, and whole corn. The method used was that of comparison to a standard; that is, pellet egg mash was offered every day in one section of a divided pan; in the other, yellow pellets were offered for eight days of the experiment, crushed yellow pellets for four days, and whole corn for four days.

Prior to the start of the experiment, the hens had been for months on a schedule of once-a-day feeding, their usual ration being 80 grams of egg mash and 20 grams of scratch grain. During the experiment, they were fed once a day in a special cage with an opening in the door through which they could reach the feed pan. The divided pan was placed on a platform on the outside of the door at a height convenient to the hen. Three hens were tested at once in cages of this type. Panels divided the cages so that the hens could not watch each other. Position of the standard egg mash was varied each day to prevent the formation of position habits. The hens were fed to satiation each day and returned to the home cage where grit and water were available.

Table I, Part A, gives in grams the amounts of pellet egg mash and whole yellow pellets eaten by each hen on the eight days of this experiment. Part B gives the comparative figures for pellet egg mash and crushed yellow pellets, while Part C presents similar data for pellet egg mash as compared to whole corn.

Table II summarizes the grand totals for all chickens and all days in total grams and percentages for the three comparisons.

Table III is an auxiliary table presenting the data of Table I in a cross-tabulation by days and shows in addition the total grams eaten by each chicken on each day.

The results of this experiment show considerable variability, both among birds and on various days, with regard to the proportions of mash as compared to the test feed. Not one chicken consistently ate more yellow pellets than mash pellets. One bird (No. 26) started to eat large quantities of yellow pellets, ended the eight days eating none at all. Three birds (1, 25, and 27) consistently ate a fair number of yellow pellets each day, but no bird ate more yellow pellets than mash over the eight day period.

Crushed yellow pellets were even less popular with these grown tens. Whole corn, on the other hand, was markedly preferred by four of the birds after the first day. One chicken which had eaten a fair proportion of yellow pellets (No. 25) ate almost no whole corn.

.

¹ A minimum of 15 minutes during the first four days; subsequently the birds were left in the cages at least 30 minutes, although the birds which had previously finished eating in 15 minutes continued to do so.

Table I
A: Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten

| Day M WY M W | | | | | | Bird N | lumber | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-----|
| Day M WY M W | | | 1 | 4 | | | | | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| 1 150 28 125 12 94 16 25 163 73 73 91 9 2 97 59 151 0 46 81 100 117 55 54 155 2 3 8 166 145 0 30 53 57 147 47 104 182 5 4 119 61 140 0 33 126 162 5 50 78 184 12 5 103 60 177 0 62 6 100 0 33 38 196 0 6 70 100 164 0 77 29 198 3 60 24 198 0 7 78 67 123 0 75 29 123 0 55 25 192 0 8 113 93 112 0 80 36 142 0 55 26 169 3 Tot.1 738 634 1137 12 497 376 907 435 428 422 1365 31 9/o 53.8 99.0 57.2 67.6 50.4 97.8 B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M CY M C | Day | M | WY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 97 59 151 0 46 81 100 117 55 54 153 2 3 8 166 145 0 30 53 57 147 47 104 182 5 4 119 61 140 0 33 126 162 5 50 78 184 12 5 103 60 177 0 62 6 100 0 33 38 196 0 6 70 100 164 0 77 29 198 3 60 24 198 0 7 78 67 123 0 75 29 123 0 55 25 192 0 8 113 93 112 0 80 36 142 0 55 26 169 3 Total 738 634 1137 12 497 376 907 435 428 422 1365 31 9/0 53.8 99.0 57.2 67.6 50.4 97.8 B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M CY M C | 122 March 1988 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 8 166 145 0 30 53 57 147 47 104 182 5 4 119 61 140 0 33 126 162 5 50 78 184 12 5 103 60 177 0 62 6 100 0 33 38 196 0 6 70 100 164 0 77 29 198 3 60 24 198 0 7 78 67 123 0 75 29 123 0 55 25 192 0 8 113 93 112 0 80 36 142 0 55 26 169 3 Tot.1 738 634 1137 12 497 376 907 435 428 422 1365 31 9/0 53.8 99.0 57.2 67.6 50.4 97.8 B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M CY M C | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 19 61 140 0 33 126 162 5 50 78 184 12 5 103 60 177 0 62 6 100 0 33 38 196 0 6 70 100 164 0 77 29 198 3 60 24 198 0 78 67 123 0 75 29 123 0 55 25 192 0 8 113 93 112 0 80 36 142 0 55 26 169 3 7 78 67 123 0 75 29 123 0 55 25 192 0 8 113 93 112 0 80 36 142 0 55 26 169 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 103 60 177 0 62 6 100 0 33 38 196 0 6 70 100 164 0 77 29 198 3 60 24 198 0 77 86 67 123 0 75 29 123 0 55 25 192 0 8 113 93 112 0 80 36 142 0 55 26 169 3 10 53.8 99.0 57.2 67.6 50.4 97.8 B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 117 53 97 0 32 63 129 0 65 7 151 0 2 117 53 97 0 32 63 129 0 65 7 151 0 3 65 6 6 96.6 96.3 97.1 C: Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 17 60 138 57 167 124 0 22 148 10 117 92 85 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 19 66 38 69 82 18 47 170 56 103 83 21 12 40 138 57 167 124 0 22 14* 7* 17 19 66 38 57 100 2 17 100 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 66 66 132 3 127 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 66 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 100 2 2 17 17 19 2 85 66 12 167 91 3 100 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 100 2 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 100 2 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 20* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 20* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 20* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 15* 100* 20* 50* 25* 3* 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 70 100 164 0 77 29 198 3 60 24 198 0 78 67 123 0 75 29 123 0 55 25 192 0 8 113 93 112 0 80 36 142 0 55 26 169 3 Total 738 634 1137 12 497 376 907 435 428 422 1365 31 9/0-53.8 99.0 57.2 67.6 50.4 97.8 B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M CY M C | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 113 93 112 0 80 36 142 0 55 26 169 3 Tot. 738 634 1137 12 497 376 907 435 428 422 1365 31 9/6 53.8 99.0 57.2 67.6 50.4 97.8 B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M CY M C | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot. 738 634 1137 12 497 376 907 435 428 422 1365 31 9/0 53.8 99.0 57.2 67.6 50.4 97.8 B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M CY M C | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M CY 1 138 33 147 0 84 47 152 0 45 3 152 0 2 117 53 97 0 32 63 129 0 65 7 151 0 3 65 74 127 0 59 41 132 0 64 0 142 3 4 61 69 129 0 66 34 148 20 89 0 128 14 Tot. 381 229 500 0 241 185 561 20 263 10 573 17 62.5 100.0 56.6 96.6 96.3 97.1 C:Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC M W | | 110 | 00 | 410 | | 00 | 00 | 1.215 | | 00 | 20 | 100 | · |
| B: Pellet Mash Compared to Crushed Yellow Pellets; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M CY 1 138 33 147 0 84 47 152 0 45 3 152 0 2 117 53 97 0 32 63 129 0 65 7 151 0 3 65 74 127 0 59 41 132 0 64 0 142 3 4 61 69 129 0 66 34 148 20 89 0 128 14 Tot. 381 229 500 0 241 185 561 20 263 10 573 17 62.5 100.0 56.6 96.6 96.3 97.1 C:Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC M W | | | 634 | | 12 | 497 | 376 | 907 | 435 | 428 | 422 | 1365 | 31 |
| M CY | 0/0 | 53.8 | | 99.0 | | 57.2 | | 67.6 | | 50.4 | | 97.8 | |
| M CY | | B: Pel | let M | ash Co | ompare | d to C | rushe | d Yell | ow Pe | llets; | Gram | s Eate | n |
| 1 138 33 147 0 84 47 152 0 45 3 152 0 2 117 53 97 0 32 63 129 0 65 7 151 0 3 65 74 127 0 59 41 132 0 64 0 142 3 4 61 69 129 0 66 34 148 20 89 0 128 14 Tot. 381 229 500 0 241 185 561 20 263 10 573 17 % 62.5 100.0 56.6 96.6 96.3 97.1 C:Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC M W | | | 1 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| 2 117 53 97 0 32 63 129 0 65 7 151 0 3 65 74 127 0 59 41 132 0 64 0 142 3 4 61 69 129 0 66 34 148 20 89 0 128 14 Tot. 381 229 500 0 241 185 561 20 263 10 573 17 % 62.5 100.0 56.6 96.6 96.3 97.1 C:Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC M W | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 65 74 127 0 59 41 132 0 64 0 142 3 4 61 69 129 0 66 34 148 20 89 0 128 14 Tot. 381 229 500 0 241 185 561 20 263 10 573 17 60 102 2 173 110 0 20% 50% 25% 38 49 18 17 18 66 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 61 69 129 0 66 34 148 20 89 0 128 14 Tot. 381 229 500 0 241 185 561 20 263 10 573 17 % 62.5 100.0 56.6 96.6 96.3 97.1 C:Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC 1 96 37 88 69 82 18 47 170 56 103 83 21 2 40 138 57 167 124 0 22 148 10 117 92 85 3 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 60 102 2 175 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 | | | | | 7.70 | | | | | | 1.020 | | |
| Tot. 381 229 500 0 241 185 561 20 263 10 573 17 % 62.5 100.0 56.6 96.6 96.6 96.3 97.1 C:Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC M 1 96 37 88 69 82 18 47 170 56 103 83 21 2 40 138 57 167 124 0 22 148 10 117 92 85 3 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| % 62.5 100.0 56.6 96.6 96.3 97.1 C: Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC M W | 4 | 61 | 69 | 129 | 0 | 66 | 34 | 148 | 20 | 89 | 0 | 128 | 14 |
| C:Pellet Mash Compared to Whole Yellow Corn; Grams Eaten 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC 1 96 37 88 69 82 18 47 170 56 103 83 21 2 40 138 57 167 124 0 22 148 10 117 92 85 3 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | Tot. | 381 | 229 | 500 | 0 | 241 | 185 | 561 | 20 | 263 | 10 | 573 | 17 |
| 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC 1 96 37 88 69 82 18 47 170 56 103 83 21 2 40 138 57 167 124 0 22 148 10 117 92 85 3 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 54 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | 62.5 | | 100.0 |) | 56.6 | | 96.6 | | 96.3 | | 97.1 | |
| 1 23 25 26 27 32 M WC 1 96 37 88 69 82 18 47 170 56 103 83 21 2 40 138 57 167 124 0 22 148 10 117 92 85 3 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 54 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | 0:Pell | et We | sh Cor | mared | to wh | ole V | ellow | Comm: | Grams | Rate | n | |
| M WC M WC< | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| 1 96 37 88 69 82 18 47 170 56 103 83 21 2 40 138 57 167 124 0 22 148 10 117 92 85 3 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 54 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | | 1000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 40 138 57 167 124 0 22 148 10 117 92 85 3 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 175 110 0 20* 50* 25* 5* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 57 66 12 167 91 3 16 111 21 90 51 132 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 62 100 0 136 83 2 21* 7* 17 119 66 38 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 67 110 2 190 130 0 20 98 25 39 64 69 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 66 125 4 173 127 0 27 147 15 86 53 77 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 . Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | | | | | | | | | 111 | | | |
| 7 60 102 2 173 110 0 20* 50* 25* 3* 49 44 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 . Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 60 132 3 213 75 0 49 71 28 53 44 13 Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tot. 508 810 168 1288 822 23 222 802 197 610 492 479 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 00 | TOD | | 2.20 | | | 10 | - | 20 | 00 | 77 | 10 |
| % 38.5 11.5 97.3 21.7 24.4 50.7 | Tot. | 508 | 810 | 168 | 1288 | 822 | 23 | 222 | 802 | 197 | 610 | 492 | 479 |
| | % | 38.5 | | 11.5 | | 97.3 | | 21.7 | | 24.4 | | 50.7 | |

^{*}On these days the chickens laid eggs very shortly after being returned to their home cages. This impending event apparently upset the eating behavior on these days.

Table II

Grand Totals in Grams and Percentages,
All Feeds Tested, All Birds

| Pellet Mash Whole Yellow Pellets | Gramsercest 5072 1910 | Percent 72.64 27.36 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Total | 6982 | 100.00 |
| Pellet Mash Crushed Yellow Pellets | 2519 461 | 84.53 15.47 |
| Total | 2980 | 100.00 |
| Pellet Mash Whole Corn | 2409 4012 | 37.52 62.48 |
| Total | 6421 | 100.00 |

In conclusion, we did not find in these grown hens any marked preference for yellow pellets. On the first day of the experiment, all the chickens had been observed to eat the yellow pellets eagerly at first, then to turn away from them, in many cases not to return, at least with any consistency. The difficulty may be (1) size: the yellow pellet may be too small to be preferred markedly by a grown hen; (2) texture: the yellow pellets have a certain glassy texture not possessed by corn or egg mash; (3) hardness: see Experiment 7, below.

At any rate, the yellow pellet here used does not apparently have the proper characteristics to make it a desirable "dessert" feed for grown hens.

Table III
A: Grams Eaten of Pellet Mash and Whole Yellow Pellets
on Eight Experimental Days*

| Bird | M | Day 1 | Total | M | Day 2 | Total |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | 150 | WY | 178 | 97 | WY | 156 |
| 23 | 125 | 28 | 137 | 151 | 59 | 151 |
| 25 | 94 | 12 | 110 | 46 | 0 | 127 |
| 26 | 25 | 16 | 188 | 100 | 81 | 217 |
| 27 | 73 | 163 | 146 | 55 | 117 | 109 |
| 32 | 91 | 73 | 100 | 153 | 54 | 155 |
| Total | 558 | 9 | 859 | 602 | 2 | 915 |
| 1 23 25 26 27 32 Total | 8 145 30 57 47 182 469 | Day 3 166 0, 53 147 104 5 475 | 174 145 83 204 151 187 944 | 119 140 33 162 50 184 688 | Day 4 61 0 126 5 78 12 282 | 180 140 159 167 128 196 970 |
| 1 | 103 | Day 5 | 163 | 70 | Day 6 | 170 |
| 23 | 177 | 60 | 177 | 164 | 100 | 164 |
| 25 | 62 | 0 | 68 | 77 | 0 | 106 |
| 26 | 100 | 6 | 100 | 198 | 29 | 201 |
| 27 | 33 | 0 | 71 | 60 | 3 | 84 |
| 32 | 196 | 38 | 196 | 198 | 24 | 198 |
| Total | 671 | 0 | 775 | 767 | 0 | 923 |
| 1 23 25 26 27 32 | 78 123 75 123 55 192 646 | Day 7 67 0 29 0 25 0 121 | 145 123 104 123 80 192 767 | 113 112 80 142 55 169 671 | Day 8 93 0 36 0 26 3 158 | 206 112 116 142 81 172 829 |

B: Grams Eaten of Pellet Mash and Crushed Yellow Pellets on Four Experimental Days

| | | Day 1 | | | Day 2 | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|
| | M | CY | Total | M | CY | Total |
| 1 | 138 | 33 | 171 | 117 | 53 | 170 |
| 23 | 147 | 0 | 147 | 97 | 0 | 97 |
| 25 | 84 | 47 | 131 | 32 | 63 | 95 |
| 26 | 152 | 0 | 152 | 129 | 0 | 129 |
| 27 | 45 | 3 | 48 | 65 | 7 | 72 |
| 32 | 152 | 0 | 152 | 151 | 0 | 151 |
| Total | 718 | 83 | 801 | 591 | 123 | 714 |

^{*}During the first four days of this experiment, the hens were placed in the experimental situation every other day, on alternate days were fed their usual ration in their home cages. Subsequently they were tested on the experimental feeds every day.

| Bird M 1 65 23 127 25 59 26 132 27 64 32 142 Total 589 | Day 3 CY 74 0 41 0 0 3 118 | Table 1 Total 139 127 100 132 64 145 707 | M 61 129 66 148 89 128 621 | Day 4 CY 69 0 34 20 0 14 137 | Total 130 129 100 168 89 142 758 |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| C: | | | let Mash and Whoerimental Days | | 1 |
| Bird M 1 96 23 88 25 82 26 47 27 56 32 83 Total 452 | Day 1 WC 37 69 18 170 103 21 418 | Total 133 157 100 217 159 104 870 | M 40 57 124 22 10 92 345 | Day 2 WC 138 167 0 148 117 85 655 | Total 178 224 124 170 127 177 |
| 1 57 23 12 25 91 26 16 27 21 32 51 Total 248 | Day 3 666 167 3 111 90 132 569 | 123 179 94 127 111 183 817 | 62 0 83 21 17 66 249 | Day 4 100 136 2 7 119 38 402 | 162 136 85 28 136 104 651 |
| 1 67 23 2 25 130 26 20 27 25 32 54 298 | Day 5 110 190 0 98 39 69 | 177 192 130 118 64 123 804 | 66 4 127 27 15 53 292 | Day 6 125 173 0 147 86 77 608 | 191 177 127 174 101 130 900 |
| 1 60 23 2 25 110 26 20 27 25 32 49 Total 266 | Day 7 102 173 0 50 3 44 372 | 162 175 110 70 28 93 638 | 60 3 75 49 28 44 259 | Day 8 132 213 0 71 53 13 | 192 216 75 120 81 57 |

Experiment 6 (Final Report) Feed Preferences of Pullets

Three White Rock pullets about three months old at the start of the experiment were used to test preferences for five different types of feed over a 10-day period.

Each chicken was weighed daily and placed for the experimental feeding in a special cage containing a feeding rack, presenting 100 grams each of the five feeds, in varying positions from day to day. At the end of the period (one hour) the chicken was weighed again and returned to her home cage where grit and water were available.

Feeds tested were yellow pellets, crushed yellow pellets, broiler mash (powder form), broiler mash (3/32" pellets), and egg mash pellets.

Prior to the start of the experiment the chickens had been on a once-a-day feeding schedule, their ration consisting of 80 grams of egg mash rellets and 20 grams of scratch grain.

Pesults of the experiment are presented in Table I. Here again the findings are somewhat variable. None of the birds preferred the yellow pellets to the other feeds, although No. 61 ate 31.3 percent of her 10-days' ration in whole yellow pellets (second to egg-mash pellets). The preference of the other two birds for the small broiler pellets suggests that the yellow pellets may have been somewhat too large for this age group. Each of these birds ate at first sizable amounts of the crushed yellow pellets, but then stopped completely. Certain textural problems may be involved here, since the yellow pellets when crushed felt rather like ground glass.

At any rate, again the present yellow rellet, whole or crushed, does not emerge as the solution to the dessert pellet problem for this age group of chicken.

Table I Comparison of Five Feeds: Grams Eaten

| | | | Bird | No. 48 | | |
|-------|------|------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| Day | MAN. | CY * | EMP % | BM * | BP * | Total |
| 1 | 7 | 23 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 43 |
| 2 3 | 9 | 48 | 3 | 13 | 15 . | 85 |
| 3 | 29 | 66 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 117 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 28 | 18 | 64 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 83 | 103 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 29 | 45 | 80 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 85 | 104 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 70 | 102 |
| | | U | · · | 80 | 68 | 88 |
| Total | 45 | 137 | 34 | 213 | 385 | 814 |
| % | 5.5 | 16.8 | 4.2 | 26.2 | 47.3 | 100.0 |
| | | | | No. 61 | | |
| 1 | 11 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 222 | 93 |
| 5 | 25 | 3 | 68 | 0 | 10 | 106 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 11 | 0 | 98 |
| 4 | 9 | 4 | 62 | 14 | 16 | 105 |
| 5 | 56 | 0 | 24 | 7 | 10 | 97 |
| 6 | 47 | 12 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 91 |
| 7 | 68 | 3 | 18 | 11 | 3 | 103 |
| 8 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 67 |
| 9 | 19 | 14 | 37 | 11 | 9 | 90 |
| 10 | 58 | 0 | 25 | 19 | O | 102 |
| Total | 298 | 36 | 425 | 98 | 95 | 952 |
| % | 31.3 | 3.8 | 44.6 | 103 | 10.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | Bird : | No. 87 | | |
| 1 | 16 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 91 |
| 2 3 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 15 | 36 | 86 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 58 | 76 |
| 5 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 48 | 75 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 15 | 53 | 82 |
| | 0 | 0 | 51 | 13 | 30 | 94 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 48 | 61 |
| 7 8 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 75 | 80 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 94 | 104 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 81 | 98 |
| Total | 16 | 74 | 124 | 109 | 524 | 847 |
| % | 1.9 | 8.7 | 14.6 | 12.9 | 61.9 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | |

^{*}WY = whole yellow pellets, CY = crushed yellow pellets, EMP = egg mash pellets, BM = broiler mash (powder), BP = broiler mash (3/32" pellets).

Experiment 4-A
(In Progress)
Feed Consumption of Broiler Birds

The four groups of chickens used in Experiment 4 are being continued under identical circumstances for a few more weeks in order to determine what happens to the feed consumption of these birds now that the yellow pellets are gone.

Groups A and C are being fed on 3/32" broiler pellets and broiler mash (powder form). Groups B and D are being fed 3/32" and 3/16" broiler pellets. Positions of the feeds are being varied as in Experiment 4.

Data from this continuation will be reported at the conclusion of the experiment, and comparisons will be made with the 6 weeks' data of Experiment 4.

Experiment 7 (In Progress) Hardness of Feeds

A special instrument constructed for the purpose of measuring compressibility and elasticity of feeds was used to test these variables in whole yellow corn, broiler pellets, and yellow pellets.

As reported in our previous report, the first test results showed that the yellow pellets were considerably harder and more brittle than dry yellow corn, while broiler pellets were far below both corn and yellow pellets in hardness.

However, retests on the feeds done during the last week of Experiment 4, when, it was noted, temperatures rose above freezing after several weeks of freezing weather, and when the birds ate on the average relatively more yellow pellets than before, yielded readings on corn and yellow pellets which reversed the former conclusions; that is, the yellow pellets now appeared to be softer than the corn. We believe the yellow pellets may have absorbed relatively more moisture than did the corn. At any rate, we have discovered that the whole problem is rather difficult without some moisture control. We are now attempting to dry some samples of the feeds and will retest them.

Experiment 8
(In Progress)
New Yellow Feeds

The major problem now, as we see it, is to continue with the development of a suitable yellow pellet or feed for dessert feeding. Since the first development did not prove ideal, we have been experimenting with a variety of preparations and methods in an attempt to work out something more satisfactory. We thought it might be easier for us than for the Research Laboratory to do the initial work on a small scale, and test the product on birds as we work, then perhaps again to solicit help from the Laboratory for the production of a large enough quantity for a final test.

Among the variables we are considering are (1) size of particle, (2) surface texture and hardness, (3) extent of color saturation. A few of the various possibilities we have been investigating use preparations of skim milk powder, both pure and in combination with corn starch or flour, colored before drying, colored after drying, cooked and uncooked. We are testing the possibilities of extruding the preparations and breaking them into pellet form, also the possibility of a yellow crumble-ized feed to be mixed with mash or fed separately. We are retesting yellow spaghetti (used in our earlier experiments on young chickens), macaroni, and similar substances.

These feeds are being prepared in small batches and are being tested on small groups of chickens as they are developed. Nothing has yet emerged from the tests which looks promising enough to warrant production on a large scale. Experiment 9
(In Progress)
Detection by Chickens of Protein and
Carbohydrate Content of Feed

One of the aims of the yellow dessert pellet was to provide chickens with a high protein diet supplement which they would eat in preference to or at least as eagerly as corn with its high carbohydrate content. It is possible that chickens fed on a high protein ration such as broiler mash or egg mash will not each much of a protein supplement but will eat a feed high in carbohydrate; that they can, in other words, in some fashion detect the proportions of various elements in their diet. If this were true, it might be advisable to raise the carbohydrate content of a yellow pellet to be fed as a supplement to a high protein ration.

To test the hypothesis, two groups of chickens are being used. Group N, 16 White Rock chicks six weeks old at the start of the experiment, are being fed a protein-fortified broiler mash. Group M, 16 White Rocks of the same age, are being fed carbohydrate-fortified feed. At the end of the experimental feeding period, Group N will be tested on its preference for a ration high in carbohydrate, and Group M will be tested on preference for a high protein ration.